

RACE CLOTHING MANUF'G CO.
Manufacturers of All Kinds of
WORKING GOODS.



All Wool Custom Made Pants for \$3.00.
Our Boys Suit for \$1.50 has never been Equaled.
500 have been sold this season.

All Wool Pants Made to Order for \$4 and \$5.

We have the Best Selected line of
OVERCOATS
in the city. PRICES THE LOWEST.

WE ARE LEADERS IN
Fine Clothing, Gents' Furnishing Goods,
MERCHANT TAILORING,
At Prices that cannot be duplicated, as we
are manufacturers.
We can Save You Money.

RACE CLOTHING M'FG CO.,
129-135 North Water Street.

GET READY
For the Cold Wave.

Now is the Time to Buy Your
Blankets and Comforts.

And the Best Place to make your purchase is at

THE
NEW STORE

Next to Millikin's Bank, on Water street.

- 75 pairs Gray Mixed Blankets, Extra Size, \$1.00 per pair.
- 4 bales Comforts, worth \$1.00, for 75c.
- 3 bales Comforts, worth \$1.25, for \$1.00.
- 3 bales Comforts, worth \$1.50, for \$1.25.
- A good 11-4 Red Blanket, Extra size, worth \$3.75, for \$3.00 per pair.
- 5 pieces Heavy Twilled Medicated Red Flannel, worth 37 1/2c, for 30c a yard.
- A SPECIAL BARGAIN will be given in Misses' and Children's Gray Mixed Pants and Vests.
- 20 doz. Gray Mixed Drawers for Boys, from the age of 9 to 15 years, all sizes.

DON'T FORGET THE PLACE,

The New Store,
NEXT TO MILLIKIN'S BANK.
S. HUMPHREYS.

FROM WASHINGTON.

An Important Chinese Exclusion Law Decision

TROUBLE AT CHEYENNE RIVER AGENCY

The Law Prohibiting the Publication of Designs for Coins—Howgate's Bondsman Must Pay—Minister Porter's Return from Italy

An Important Chinese Exclusion Decision

WASHINGTON Nov. 17.—Chief Justice Fuller rendered his opinion of the United States supreme court yesterday in the case of Lou Ow Biew, a Chinese citizen who resided in the United States for seventeen years, and was refused admission to this country on his return from a temporary visit to China. Lou Ow Biew was an importer in Chicago. He was going to China to secure proof of his residence here and presented the papers certifying that to the collector at San Francisco on his arrival from China and was refused admission on the ground that he had no certificate of the Chinese government certifying his American residence. The case has an important bearing on the recent law establishing circuit courts of appeals in that it came to the supreme court on an appeal from the decision of the lower court that had the use for the certification of the case to the federal supreme court instead of to the California court of appeals to which it would ordinarily have gone. In its decision the supreme court grants the writ of certiorari asked for bringing the case under its jurisdiction on the ground that it is of sufficient importance involving as it does a new question under the Chinese exclusion act. The case, however, is presented in the fact that Lou Ow Biew is a merchant the court having already decided the bearing of the bill so far as it returns to Chinese laborers.

The Causes of Indian Disaffection

WASHINGTON Nov. 17.—Acting Commissioner of Indian Affairs H. H. Henshaw received the following telegram dated November 14 from Capt. Penney acting agent at Cheyenne River agency: "The 8th regiment Bear Egle's party from Cheyenne River agency came straight to this agency and reported. In my opinion they mean no mischief. They are well equipped with the latest of arms. I have consulted their return and that they shall make application there for transfer if they have reasonable grounds for such application. I have no objection to make them. Discontent with management at their own agency is manifested by all visitors from Cheyenne river inclines me to believe that they are not on ground for complaint. Matters will be looked into without delay. Mr. Bell has recommended to the secretary of the interior that the in spector now at Cheyenne River agency be transferred to give the complaints and causes of discontent of Bear Egle and his followers careful investigation and consideration and to submit a full report on the subject. The secretary has approved the recommendation. The commissioner has replied to Capt. Penney, who is urged to encourage the Indians to return to their reservation so that investigation may be made."

The Law Prohibiting the Publication of Designs for Coins

WASHINGTON Nov. 17.—Secretary Foster yesterday made the following statement about the publication of coin designs. During the past week there has appeared in the newspapers in different parts of the country cuts of new designs for coins recently approved by the department. In some of the papers articles have been published to the effect that to publish the cuts is in violation of existing laws while in other papers it was claimed that there was no law that such a reproduction on paper could be made. "Therefore I deem it advisable for the benefit of the general public to state that while the publication of such cuts has always been condoned and in fact was harmless heretofore, as now a law on the statute books which was approved February 19, 1891, forbids the making or publishing of anything in resemblance to any of the coins of the United States or of foreign governments or even the inscription thereon. Secretary Foster after quoting the law in question and a letter from Representative Wright who introduced the measure adds: "It would appear from this letter also from the wording of the section that it is not the intention of Congress that any person should be privileged to publish reproductions of any of the coins mentioned in the law."

Howgate's Bondsman Must Pay

WASHINGTON Nov. 17.—An echo of the case of Capt. Howgate, who was sentenced to pay a large amount of money from the government while chief of the signal service was heard in the supreme court of the United States yesterday in the announcement of the judgment of the circuit court of the district of New York in the case of Lubben H. Rogers, one of Howgate's bondsman, who had been released to cover Howgate's pecuniations. The judgment in the case, which came to the supreme court on a writ of error, is affirmed and Mr. Rogers will be obliged to pay the amount of his bond.

Minister Porter's Return from Italy

WASHINGTON Nov. 17.—Minister Porter did not call at the department of state yesterday. He was granted leave of absence with permission to visit the United States his visit to Washington to arrange to go to Europe to spend his holidays as it is customary for a minister on their return from abroad to

call and pay their respects to the secretary of state and to receive instructions. The day of state has had no correspondence with the Italian minister in connection with the New Orleans affair for some time and the rumor that Italy is sent an ultimatum is generally discredited here.

A Lottery Case in the Supreme Court
WASHINGTON Nov. 17.—The case involving the constitutionality of the anti-lottery law came on for argument in the supreme court of the United States yesterday afternoon. Mr. Hannu T. Taylor of Mobile, Ala. opened for the newspaper publishers and Assistant Attorney General H. M. H. followed for the government.

A Destructive Hike

An Early Morning Breeze in the Dry Goods Store at St. Louis
ST. LOUIS Nov. 17.—At about 4 o'clock this morning a dry goods store at San of St. Louis was the scene of a destructive fire. The fire broke out in the rear of the store and spread rapidly, consuming the entire building. The loss is estimated at \$100,000. The cause of the fire is not yet known.

The wind which blew from the south was blowing in the face of the smoke and the fire spread rapidly. The fire broke out in the rear of the store and spread rapidly, consuming the entire building. The loss is estimated at \$100,000. The cause of the fire is not yet known.

The great general dry goods, boot and shoe and notion house known as "Famous" on the corner of Fifth and Main streets, St. Louis, was the scene of a destructive fire. The fire broke out in the rear of the store and spread rapidly, consuming the entire building. The loss is estimated at \$100,000. The cause of the fire is not yet known.

Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad
BALTIMORE Md. Nov. 17.—The annual meeting of the stockholders of the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad was held at the Hotel Hamilton this morning. The meeting was attended by a large number of stockholders and the business of the meeting was transacted.

The stockholders of the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad met this morning at the Hotel Hamilton for the annual meeting. The meeting was attended by a large number of stockholders and the business of the meeting was transacted.

Mr. Taylor was followed by Assistant Attorney General Maury for the government. There was no limit to the time for the government to present its case. The government presented its case and the court heard the arguments.

Mr. Taylor was followed by Assistant Attorney General Maury for the government. There was no limit to the time for the government to present its case. The government presented its case and the court heard the arguments.

More than a century of acquiescence of the people of the United States in the constitutionality of the anti-lottery law was the subject of the argument. The government argued that the law was constitutional and the newspaper publishers argued that it was not.

Mr. Maury went on to contend that the general welfare of the country required the passage of the anti-lottery law. He argued that the law was necessary to protect the public morals and the health of the nation.

Mr. James C. Guter of New York counsel for Dupree, followed Mr. Maury. He contended that congress had no right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

Mr. Maury went on to contend that the general welfare of the country required the passage of the anti-lottery law. He argued that the law was necessary to protect the public morals and the health of the nation.

Mr. James C. Guter of New York counsel for Dupree, followed Mr. Maury. He contended that congress had no right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

Mr. Maury went on to contend that the general welfare of the country required the passage of the anti-lottery law. He argued that the law was necessary to protect the public morals and the health of the nation.

Mr. James C. Guter of New York counsel for Dupree, followed Mr. Maury. He contended that congress had no right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

Mr. Maury went on to contend that the general welfare of the country required the passage of the anti-lottery law. He argued that the law was necessary to protect the public morals and the health of the nation.

THE LOTTERY CASES.

The Constitutionality of the Anti-Lottery Law

ARGUED BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT

The Right to Prescribe Conditions Under Which Newspapers May Circulate Through the Mails Unquestionably Belongs to Congress

WASHINGTON Nov. 17.—Argument in the case involving the constitutionality of the anti-lottery law began in the supreme court of the United States yesterday afternoon and will be concluded today. The cases were those of John T. Dupree of the Mobile Register and George W. Dupree of the New Orleans States, who were arrested on the charge of depositing in the mails papers containing lottery advertisements and Dupree charged also with depositing in the mails a letter containing the advertisement. The cases came to the supreme court on applications for writs of habeas corpus and certiorari.

Mr. P. Taylor attorney for Dupree opened the case for the defendants. He argued that the anti-lottery law was unconstitutional and that the government had no right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

The government's case was presented by Assistant Attorney General Maury. He argued that the anti-lottery law was constitutional and that congress had the right to prohibit the sale of lottery tickets. He argued that the sale of lottery tickets was a business and that congress had no right to interfere with it.

TELEGRAPHIC NOTES.

The Missouri supreme court has declared the anti-lottery law unconstitutional.

BARGAIN COLUMN

RAIGAINS IN REAL ESTATE
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.

RAIGAINS IN REAL ESTATE
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

BARGAIN COLUMN

RAIGAINS IN REAL ESTATE
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.

RAIGAINS IN REAL ESTATE
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.

RAIGAINS IN REAL ESTATE
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

FOR SALE, FOR RENT, WANTED, ETC.
200 acres of prime farm land, highly improved, 15 miles from city—\$10,000.

WE ARE PAINTING



NOT RED,

But with specimens of good-fitting, good-looking, stylish, reasonable priced clothing, of which we have a great assortment on hand, and from which you can no doubt suit yourself in every particular.

We have Overcoatings and Suitings from the Cheapest to the Best, for MEN, YOUTHS, BOYS and Children.

Why don't you treat yourself and us fairly and come to see us before purchasing.

B. STINE CLOTHING CO.,
Decatur, Illinois

THE RIGHT TIME
TO BUY
A Handsome Winter Garment.



- 40-inch Seal Plush Sackies, very latest cut, satin lining, \$15.95.
- 40-inch Luster's Seal Plush Sackies, extra heavy lining, \$15.90.
- 40-inch Seal Plush Sackies, seal ornaments, 2-inch plush facings in front, \$20.
- 40-inch Seal Plush Sackies, overcoat satin lining, deep plush facings on fronts, \$25.
- 40-inch Seal Plush Sackies, Astrakhan shawl collar and cuffs, \$27.
- 40-inch Seal Plush Sackies, best lining and plush facing, \$25.
- 27-inch Luster's Seal Plush Reefers, Astrakhan shawl collar, \$20.

Largest and Most Complete Line of CLOAKS
EVER SHOWN IN DECATUR.
Largest and Best Lighted Cloak Room in Central Illinois.

CORNER OF
Water and William sts
Bradley Bros
Decatur, Ill.

S. H. HUMPHREYS.

